




From Punishment to Healing: The Transformative Power of Restorative Justice

Suud Sarim Karimullah

Institute of Social Science Gümüşhane University, Türkiye.

 : suudsarimkarimullah@gmail.com

Corresponding Author*



Submitted: 2023-07-10

Revised: 2023-09-06

Published: 2023-10-30

Article Info

Keywords:

Punishment; Restorative Justice; Criminal Justice.

Abstract

Introduction: Conventional criminal justice systems are often dominated by punitive approaches, which focus more on punishing offenders as a form of revenge and their separation from society. However, this approach has drawbacks in achieving broader goals, such as victim recovery, reducing recidivism rates, and building safer communities.

Purposes of the Research: This study discusses the power of restorative justice transformation in changing the paradigm from punishment to healing. Restorative justice offers an alternative approach to responsibility, reconciliation, and healing.

Methods of the Research: Literature research methods are used to understand restorative justice, including its concepts, practices, impacts, challenges, and benefits. Literature Data is systematically identified, collated, and analyzed.

Results of the Research: Restorative justice is a powerful approach to changing judicial paradigms, with its principles emphasizing reconciliation, responsibility, and participation. The impact of restorative justice on perpetrators includes behavior change and responsibility, while on victims, it includes recovery and reconciliation. Implementing restorative justice requires collaboration, adequate resources, and active participation from stakeholders. Restorative justice has the potential to create a more humane, equitable, and sustainable justice system, as well as bring recovery and reconciliation to individuals and communities affected by crime.

1. INTRODUCTION

Conventional criminal justice systems are often dominated by punitive approaches that aim to punish offenders as a form of revenge and to eliminate the separation of offenders from society.¹ However, this approach often fails to achieve broader goals, such as recovering victims, reducing recidivism rates, and building safer communities. Along with the awareness of the weaknesses of this approach comes the need to adopt a more inclusive and transformational process in the criminal justice system. As a result, there is an urgent need to explore more inclusive and transformational alternatives to preserve Justice and build safer societies. In recent decades, restorative justice has emerged as an exciting

¹ Donna M Bishop, "Juvenile Offenders in the Adult Criminal Justice System," *Crime and Justice* 27 (2000): 81-167, <https://doi.org/10.1086/652199>; Clare McGlynn, "Feminism, Rape and the Search for Justice," *Oxford Journal of Legal Studies* 31, no. 4 (2011): 825-42, <https://doi.org/10.1093/ojls/gqr025>.

Suud Sarim Karimullah, "From Punishment to Healing: The Transformative Power of Restorative Justice"

approach to changing the criminal justice paradigm from punishment to healing.² Restorative Justice emphasizes the active participation of perpetrators, recovery of victims, reconciliation, and responsibility, with the primary goal of restoring damaged relationships and promoting positive growth for all parties involved.³ The restorative justice approach is rooted in the understanding that crime and conflict have a broader impact than individual perpetrators and victims.⁴ In this context, this approach seeks to go beyond punishment to restore lost balance, repair losses incurred, and establish sustainable Justice. One of the characteristics of restorative Justice is the active involvement of various parties involved, including perpetrators, victims, families, communities, and other stakeholders. Through open dialogue and deep understanding, restorative justice creates a space for reflection, repentance, and growth for perpetrators of crime, while providing opportunities for victims to communicate their needs and recovery.

Restorative Justice also aims to restore social relationships that have been disrupted due to criminal acts.⁵ In dealing with the consequences of crime, this approach emphasizes the importance of reconciliation, recognizing responsibility, and repairing damage caused at the personal, interpersonal, and community levels. By focusing on positive transformation, Restorative Justice can potentially reduce the cycle of crime, build safer communities, and change the outlook on justice. Although restorative Justice has become an increasingly popular research topic, there is still broad scope to investigate its transformational potential in greater depth and to understand its impact on individuals, families, and society. Therefore, this study aims to explore from punishment to healing: the transformative power of restorative justice, focusing on healthy justice practices implemented in the criminal justice system context.

This research examines core principles of restorative justice, such as active participation, harm recovery, reconciliation, and responsibility. It seeks a better understanding of how these approaches can change the paradigm of punishment-centered criminal Justice to a more healing and reconciliation-focused approach. The study also examined the impact of restorative justice on perpetrators and victims, including behavioral change, psychological recovery, and improved social relationships. In addition, this study will address the challenges and opportunities associated with implementing restorative Justice in the existing criminal justice system. Factors such as education and training, institutional support, public policy, and cross-sector cooperation will be the focus of research to understand how vital Justice can be effectively integrated into existing justice systems. By delving into these topics, the study hopes to understand better the

² John Braithwaite, "Principles of Restorative Justice," *Restorative Justice and Criminal Justice: Competing or Reconcilable Paradigms* 1 (2003): 5-6; Howard Zehr, *Changing Lenses: Restorative Justice for Our Times* (Harrisburg: Herald Press, 2015); Gerry Johnstone, *Restorative Justice: Ideas, Values, Debates* (New York: Routledge, 2013), 52-58.

³ David R Karp and Olivia Frank, "Restorative Justice and Student Development in Higher Education: Expanding 'Offender' Horizons beyond Punishment and Rehabilitation to Community Engagement and Personal Growth," *Offenders No More: An Interdisciplinary Restorative Justice Dialogue*, 2016, 141-64; George Pavlich, *Governing Paradoxes of Restorative Justice* (London: GlassHouse Press, 2005), 25-26.

⁴ Melanie Randall and Lori Haskell, "Trauma-Informed Approaches to Law: Why Restorative Justice Must Understand Trauma and Psychological Coping," *Dalhousie LJ* 36 (2013): 501; Liam J Leonard, "Can Restorative Justice Provide a Better Outcome for Participants and Society than the Courts?," *Laws* 11, no. 1 (2022): 14, <https://doi.org/10.3390/laws11010014>.

⁵ Greg Ogilvie and David Fuller, "Restorative Justice Pedagogy in the ESL Classroom: Creating a Caring Environment to Support Refugee Students," *TESL Canada Journal*, 2016, 86-96; Michael Wenzel et al., "Retributive and Restorative Justice.," *Law and Human Behavior* 32, no. 5 (2008): 375.

transformational potential of restorative justice and its implications in building a more inclusive, equitable, and sustainable justice system. Through this research, it is expected to evaluate existing judicial practices and see how restorative Justice can be a force that drives positive changes in people's social lives.

2. METHOD

This study uses the method of library research with a focus on the analysis of relevant literature. The process involves the identification of keywords, the search for literature sources, and the systematic study of the collected data. The literature study identifies essential information and findings and arranges thematically. This approach enables researchers to understand restorative justice in-depth, including its basic concepts, principles, implementation practices, impacts, benefits, and associated challenges and opportunities. Although this study is limited to published data, this method provides a comprehensive and reliable understanding of transformation through restorative justice in building a more inclusive and sustainable justice system. After conducting a literature review, the next step is to synthesize and analyze the findings found in the literature. Data collected from the literature will be systematically collated and analyzed to identify emerging patterns, themes, and perspectives. During the analysis, the researcher will compare and relate findings from various literature sources to develop a fuller understanding of the discussion of the study. This involves critical reading, evaluation, and interpretation of the information found. The results of this analysis will be used to identify essential and exciting topics in the context of restorative justice, including changes in the behavior of criminals, victim recovery, social transformation, and challenges and opportunities in implementing restorative justice in the criminal justice system. Furthermore, the findings will be compiled and presented systematically and structured. This will allow the researcher to deliver the study's results clearly and coherently and reinforce the arguments and conclusions generated. In the final phase of this study, the researcher will conclude the main findings from the literature analysis conducted. This conclusion will provide a comprehensive summary and a deeper understanding of restorative justice and contribute to the development of policy, practice, and knowledge of the role of restorative justice in achieving a more holistic and sustainable justice.

3. RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

3.1 Principles of Restorative Justice in Changing the Paradigm of Justice

Restorative justice is an approach in the justice system that focuses on recovery, reconciliation, and rehabilitation rather than punishment and retribution.⁶ The main goal is to restore the broken relationship between the perpetrator, victim, and society. One of the main principles of restorative justice is the active participation of all parties involved in the judicial process.⁷ Perpetrators, victims, and the community are invited to discuss the impact

⁶ Donald H J Hermann, "Restorative Justice and Retributive Justice: An Opportunity for Cooperation or an Occasion for Conflict in the Search for Justice," *Seattle J. Soc. Just.* 16 (2017): 71; Jac Armstrong, "Rethinking the Restorative-Retributive Dichotomy: Is Reconciliation Possible?," *Contemporary Justice Review* 17, no. 3 (2014): 362-74, <https://doi.org/10.1080/10282580.2014.944796>; Steve Mulligan, "From Retribution to Repair: Juvenile Justice and the History of Restorative Justice," *U. La Verne L. Rev.* 31 (2009): 139.

⁷ Kathleen Daly, "The Punishment Debate in Restorative Justice," *The SAGE Handbook of Punishment and Society*, 2013, 356-74, <http://digital.casalini.it/9781446266007>; Daniel Van Ness, Allison Morris, and Gabrielle Maxwell, "Introducing Restorative Justice," *Restorative Justice for Juveniles: Conferencing, Mediation and Circles*, 2001, 3-16.

of such acts of violation and seek adequate solutions. This is in contrast to the traditional justice system, which involves the parties passively. Restorative justice also emphasizes accountability and personal responsibility.⁸ The perpetrator is expected to acknowledge his actions, take responsibility for the consequences they have caused, and seek to repair the harm they have caused. This approach helps the perpetrator understand and feel the negative impact of their actions, which can encourage positive behavior change. In addition, restorative justice also puts the victim at the center of attention. The therapeutic process allows the victim to convey their experiences, express emotions, and gain personal recovery. Involving victims in the judicial process gives them a better sense of justice and helps them heal.

Applying the principle of restorative justice has a positive impact on society. In this approach, the community is also invited to participate in solving problems and supporting the recovery of perpetrators. It can strengthen social ties and build trust among community members, reducing crime rates and improving security. Although restorative justice has a strong potential to change the judicial paradigm, challenges in its application remain.⁹ One of the main challenges is ensuring equality and justice in the therapeutic process, including protecting the rights of victims and perpetrators. It also requires adequate resources, training, and institutional support to implement this approach effectively. Restorative justice can create positive change in the justice system and promote more holistic justice by prioritizing recovery, reconciliation, and participation of all parties involved.

It is essential to explore some of its concrete examples in applying restorative justice principles in changing judicial paradigms. One successful example is a vital program in Canada known as circle sentencing.¹⁰ The Program engages community groups, victims, perpetrators, and justice system members to sit together in circles and discuss the offending acts, their impact, and how to restore damaged relationships.¹¹ Through dialogue and open talks, participants seek adequate solutions and restore balance among all parties involved. In New Zealand, the juvenile justice system applies a restorative justice approach. This principle prioritizes the recovery and rehabilitation of children of perpetrators while involving victims and the community to find solutions that benefit all parties.¹² This approach has helped reduce the incarceration rate of children, provide opportunities for them to learn from their mistakes, and rebuild relationships with victims and communities. Restorative justice has also been applied to violent offenses in intimate relationships in the

⁸ Rita Kohli, Elizabeth Montañó, and Damany Fisher, "History Matters: Challenging an A-Historical Approach to Restorative Justice in Teacher Education," *Theory Into Practice* 58, no. 4 (2019): 377-84, <https://doi.org/10.1080/00405841.2019.1626613>.

⁹ Judy C Tsui, "Breaking Free Do the Prison Paradigm: Integrating Restorative Justice Techniques into Chicago's Juvenile Justice System," *J. Crim. L. & Criminology* 104 (2014): 635; Andreas von Hirsch et al., *Restorative Justice and Criminal Justice: Competing or Reconcilable Paradigms* (Oregon: Hart Publishing, 2003), 21-23.

¹⁰ Ivan Potas et al., "Circle Sentencing in New South Wales," *Australian Indigenous Law Reporter* 8, no. 4 (2004): 73-80; Barry Stuart, "Circle Sentencing in Canada: A Partnership of the Community and the Criminal Justice System," *International Journal of Comparative and Applied Criminal Justice* 20, no. 2 (1996): 291-309.

¹¹ Juan Marcellus Tauri, "An Indigenous Perspective on the Standardisation of Restorative Justice in New Zealand and Canada," *Indigenous Policy Journal* 20, no. 3 (2009); Barbara Tomporowski, "Restorative Justice and Community Justice in Canada," *Restorative Justice* 2, no. 2 (2014): 218-24.

¹² Gabrielle Maxwell and Allison Morris, "Youth Justice in New Zealand: Restorative Justice in Practice?," *Journal of Social Issues* 62, no. 2 (2006): 239-58, <https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1540-4560.2006.00449.x>; Shirley Jülich, "Views of Justice among Survivors of Historical Child Sexual Abuse: Implications for Restorative Justice in New Zealand," *Theoretical Criminology* 10, no. 1 (2006): 125-38, <https://doi.org/10.1177/13624806060599>.

judicial system.¹³ This approach allows victims to participate in the judicial process and voice their needs. In addition to appropriate punishment for the perpetrator, focus is also placed on the victim's recovery and efforts to prevent future repeated violence through targeted intervention and recovery. However, remember that restorative justice is unsuitable for all types of crimes or situations. For There needs to be a more traditional approach emphasizing appropriate punishment for severe crimes or offenses involving a significant power imbalance.

The implementation of restorative justice requires cooperation between various institutions and stakeholders. The criminal justice system, educational institutions, community organizations, and rehabilitation institutions should work together in implementing restorative justice approaches. Training and coaching are also essential for professionals involved in the Restorative Justice process, including mediators, facilitators, and social workers, to ensure they have the skills and knowledge necessary to facilitate recovery. In some cases, restorative justice can lead to agreements or agreements that contain sanctions that the offender must comply with. These sanctions may include restitution to the victim, community service, rehabilitation, or educational programs. The goal is not only to provide punishment but also to help offenders understand and correct the negative impact of their actions and encourage positive behavior change.

The restorative justice approach also focuses on crime prevention.¹⁴ By improving social relationships, strengthening communities, and providing effective alternatives in dealing with crime, restorative justice can help prevent future crimes from occurring. This means emphasizing rehabilitation and social reintegration more than relying solely on prison sentences as the sole solution. However, the implementation of restorative justice also faces some challenges. First, there is still concern and resistance from some members of society and the criminal justice system to this approach. Some may feel that this approach is too lenient towards the perpetrator and lacks consideration for justice for the victim. Second, adequate resources, including time, funds, and labor, are needed to properly carry out the Restorative Justice process. Third, it is essential to maintain a balance between restorative justice and the traditional criminal justice system. Restorative justice does not have to replace the criminal justice system, but it should be an adjunct that can be applied in possible cases. Each case must be assessed individually to determine if restorative justice is the most appropriate approach.

In implementing the principle of restorative justice, several important aspects need to be considered. First, this approach must be based on equality and respect for all parties' dignity. Everyone should be treated fairly and respectfully, including victims, perpetrators, and affected members of society. Second, the restorative justice process must involve effective and open communication between all parties. This includes providing space for emotional expression, active listening, and the opportunity to listen to each other. Good communication allows a better understanding between victim and perpetrator and promotes empathy and positive emotions in recovery. Third, restorative justice must be supported by the principle of confidentiality and security. Each party involved should feel safe and protected throughout the process, especially victims who may be vulnerable to

¹³ Mary Koss and Mary Achilles, "Restorative Justice Responses to Sexual Assault," in *Applied Research Forum: National Online Resource Centre on Violence Against Women*, 2008, 1-15.

¹⁴ Gordon Bazemore and Curt Griffiths, "Police Reform, Restorative Justice and Restorative Policing," *Police Practice and Research* 4, no. 4 (2003): 335-46, <https://doi.org/10.1080/15614260310001631244>.

bullying or revenge. Confidentiality facilitates honesty and sincerity in sharing more profound experiences and feelings.

Fourth, involving the community as an integral part of the recovery process is essential. Society is vital in supporting, facilitating social reintegration, and promoting positive change in abuser behavior. Community involvement can also help reduce the stigmatization of victims and perpetrators and strengthen positive social bonds. Fifth, restorative justice should focus on crime prevention and recidivism. This approach should include measures to support the rehabilitation and social reintegration of the offender into society. This can involve improving access to education, skills, employment, and relevant support services. Restorative justice can help change behavior patterns and prevent future crimes by providing beneficial opportunities for offenders.

Measurement and evaluation are also crucial in the implementation of restorative justice. It is essential to identify the right metrics to measure the effectiveness of this approach in achieving the goals of recovery, reconciliation, and crime prevention. Through careful evaluation, opportunities can be found to improve and develop the restorative justice process to effectively meet the needs of all parties involved. In addition, interagency collaboration and cross-sector cooperation are also needed to run restorative justice successfully. The judiciary, educational institutions, community organizations, government, and other institutions must work together in designing policies, providing resources, and ensuring good coordination in implementing restorative justice.

Restorative justice approaches must also be constantly evaluated and developed. Policy development, research, and the interagency and interstate exchange of experience can help improve healthy justice practices and integrate its principles into the broader criminal justice system. In a global context, collaborative efforts are also essential to promote the use of Restorative Justice as a universally accepted approach. International organizations, such as the United Nations, can be critical in coordinating and facilitating international cooperation in developing and implementing restorative justice. By paying attention to these aspects, Restorative Justice can be a powerful tool in promoting recovery, reconciliation, and more holistic justice in the criminal justice system.

3.2 The Impact of Restorative Justice on Perpetrators and Victims

On perpetrators of crime, restorative justice can have a significant impact. This approach allows the offender to admit responsibility for their actions. This can help the perpetrator understand the adverse effects of his actions on the victim and society. By facing the immediate consequences of their actions, the abuser can reflect and change their behavior. In addition, restorative justice allows the offender to participate in the process of improvement and rehabilitation.¹⁵ Perpetrators can engage in dialogue with victims, where they can hear firsthand the emotional and physical impact of their actions. Through this dialogue, the perpetrator can gain a deep insight into his actions' consequences and empathize with the victim. Another effect of restorative justice on abusers is building social and emotional skills. During the therapeutic process, the abuser is encouraged to develop practical communication skills, control emotions, and responsible decision-making. It helps

¹⁵ Tony Ward, Kathryn J Fox, and Melissa Garber, "Restorative Justice, Offender Rehabilitation and Desistance," *Restorative Justice* 2, no. 1 (2014): 24–42; Dena M Gromet and John M Darley, "Restoration and Retribution: How Including Retributive Components Affects the Acceptability of Restorative Justice Procedures," *Social Justice Research* 19 (2006): 395–432, <https://doi.org/10.1007/s11211-006-0023-7>.

the offender avoid future criminal behavior and re-establish a positive relationship with society.

In addition to the perpetrators, victims also experience a significant impact of restorative justice. This approach allows the victim to convey their experience directly to the perpetrator. This gives a feeling of validation and allows the victim to get an explanation of the perpetrator's actions. This process can help the victim to gain a sense of recovery, accept the apology given by the perpetrator, and begin the healing process. In addition, restorative justice can give victims a greater understanding of justice.¹⁶ In traditional justice systems, victims often feel that legal decisions do not consider their needs thoroughly. In restorative justice, the victim can be directly involved in decision-making and provide input on the appropriate sanctions for the perpetrator. This can give the victim more incredible control and satisfaction with the process.

Restorative justice also helps in the emotional recovery of the victim.¹⁷ In a safe and structured environment, victims can express their emotions related to the crime they are experiencing. This process allows the victim to release the trauma and begin healing. In addition, support and attention from the perpetrator and the community in a therapeutic context can help rebuild trust and reduce feelings of isolation that the victim may feel. In this regard, the Restorative Justice approach is a potentially powerful alternative to building a more humane and effective criminal justice system.

It is essential to consider several factors that can influence the impact of restorative justice on the perpetrator and the victim. One of the critical factors is the level of participation and voluntary involvement of both parties. Restorative Justice is effective when the perpetrator and victim are actively involved and willing to participate voluntarily. If either party feels coerced or unwilling to engage, the positive impact of Restorative Justice can be hampered. In addition, other factors, such as the severity of the crime, the type of crime, and the offender's history, can influence the impact of Restorative Justice. In the case of more severe or repeated crimes, it is necessary to carefully evaluate whether a therapeutic approach or the traditional criminal justice system is more appropriate. Similarly, certain types of crime, such as sexual assault or intra-family violence, may require different methods to maintain the victim's safety and well-being.

In addition to the impact on perpetrators and victims individually, Restorative Justice can also positively impact society. This approach encourages building safer and more connected communities, where conflicts are resolved through dialogue and reconciliation rather than just punishment or retaliation. By promoting principles such as empathy, understanding, and social responsibility, Restorative Justice can build healthier relationships and promote communal recovery. However, it is essential to recognize that Restorative Justice is not the right solution for every case, perpetrator, and victim. Each situation should be assessed individually, and the decision about using a therapeutic

¹⁶ Andrew Ashworth, "Responsibilities, Rights and Restorative Justice," *British Journal of Criminology* 42, no. 3 (2002): 578–95, <https://doi.org/10.1093/bjc/42.3.578>; Gromet and Darley, "Restoration and Retribution: How Including Retributive Components Affects the Acceptability of Restorative Justice Procedures"; Jaimie P Beven et al., "Restoration or Renovation? Evaluating Restorative Justice Outcomes," *Psychiatry, Psychology and Law* 12, no. 1 (2005): 194–206, <https://doi.org/10.1375/pplt.2005.12.1.194>.

¹⁷ Christo Thesnaar, "Restorative Justice as a Key for Healing Communities," *Religion and Theology* 15, no. 1–2 (2008): 53–73; Ian M Borton and Gregory D Paul, "Problematizing the Healing Metaphor of Restorative Justice," *Contemporary Justice Review* 18, no. 3 (2015): 257–73, <https://doi.org/10.1080/10282580.2015.1057704>.

approach should be based on the needs and safety of the victim, as well as the willingness of the perpetrator to deal with the consequences of his act.

Restorative Justice has the potential to have a significant positive impact on perpetrators and victims of crime.¹⁸ This approach focuses on reconciliation, accountability, and recovery to create a more just and conciliatory society. However, to achieve optimal results, paying attention to the particular context, the victim's needs, and the perpetrator's willingness to apply the Restorative Justice approach is essential. Furthermore, it is necessary to realize that restorative justice also has limitations and challenges that must be overcome in its implementation. One of the main challenges is the availability of adequate resources. The Restorative Justice approach requires sufficient time, effort, and funds to involve perpetrators, victims, and the community in the therapeutic process. These resource limitations may limit the reach and effectiveness of restorative justice programs. In addition, the role of the facilitator or mediator in the therapeutic process is also vital. Facilitators must have good communication and negotiation skills and a deep understanding of conflict dynamics and recovery. Adequate training of facilitators is needed to ensure that the vital process runs well and fairly for all parties involved.

It is also essential to consider concerns related to justice for the victim. Although restorative justice may allow victims to share their experiences and be involved in the decision-making process, there is concern that this approach may not give the same justice as the traditional criminal justice system. Therefore, there needs to be a good balance between the needs of victims and the protection of their rights in a therapeutic context. Furthermore, the implementation of Restorative Justice also needs to consider the diversity of cultures and values in society. Restorative approaches must be sensitive to the various cultural, ethnic, religious, and social backgrounds of victims and perpetrators. An understanding and recognition of these differences are necessary for the therapeutic process to work correctly and produce beneficial results for all parties involved.

Restorative justice is not always suitable for all types of crime. In particularly violent or systematic crimes, achieving true reconciliation between the perpetrator and victim can be difficult. Taking other, more appropriate approaches, such as strict punishment by applicable law, may be necessary in such a situation. It is also important to remember that Restorative Justice is not a single solution to all problems in the criminal justice system. This approach should not replace the traditional judicial system but rather as a complementary approach that can be used in specific cases. It is essential to consider each case's context, individual characteristics, and interests to determine whether restorative justice is the right approach.

3.3 Restorative Justice in the Justice System

Restorative justice has become an increasingly noticed approach in the judicial systems of various countries. This approach emphasizes recovery, reconciliation, and active participation of perpetrators, victims, and communities in dealing with conflict and crime.¹⁹ Implementing Restorative Justice in the judicial system involves various steps and factors that need to be considered to ensure the effectiveness and sustainability of this approach. One of the critical factors in implementing restorative justice is the policy and legal

¹⁸ Mandeep K Dhami, Greg Mantle, and Darrell Fox, "Restorative Justice in Prisons," *Contemporary Justice Review* 12, no. 4 (2009): 433-48; Hennessey Hayes, "Reoffending and Restorative Justice," in *Handbook of Restorative Justice* (Willan, 2013), 426-44.

¹⁹ Tom R Tyler, "Restorative Justice and Procedural Justice: Dealing with Rule Breaking," *Journal of Social Issues* 62, no. 2 (2006): 307-26.

framework that supports it. Countries that successfully implement restorative justice usually have laws or regulations that specifically govern the use of this approach in the criminal justice system. This policy provides guidance and a clear legal foundation for professionals and stakeholders in consistently adopting and implementing restorative justice.

Building the professionals' capacity in the restorative justice process is essential. Judges, prosecutors, legal counsel, and facilitators should receive adequate training on the principles and practical skills associated with this approach. Such activity may include understanding conflict dynamics, effective communication, facilitation of dialogue, and negotiation. By strengthening the capacity of professionals, the implementation of restorative justice can be carried out more effectively and consistently. In addition, it is essential to establish cooperation and collaboration between the judiciary, police, and civil society organizations. Implementing restorative justice requires close collaboration between stakeholders to ensure fair and timely access to therapeutic approaches. This cooperation may include exchanging information, coordinating cases, and developing joint protocols or guidelines to implement restorative justice effectively.

In implementing restorative justice, it is also essential to consider the different cultural, social, and political contexts in each country or community. Therapeutic approaches must be sensitive to cultural diversity, values, and norms. Considering this context allows the development of therapeutic justice approaches that are relevant, effective, and acceptable to the communities served. Furthermore, adequate resources should be allocated to support the implementation of restorative justice. These resources include sufficient funding to train and support the facilitators, the infrastructure necessary to hold therapeutic sessions, and the administrative support needed. Limited resources can be an obstacle in implementing Restorative Justice broadly and sustainably, so it is essential to prioritize and advocate for adequate allocation of resources.

There is also a need for continuous monitoring and evaluation of existing restorative programs. This monitoring and assessment can help identify strengths, weaknesses, and opportunities for improvement in implementing Restorative Justice. With accurate data and information, evidence-based policy decisions can be made to improve the effectiveness of this approach. In addition, it is also essential to communicate Restorative Justice effectively to the community. Public education and awareness of the concept, benefits, and processes of Restorative Justice can help eliminate fears, misconceptions, and stigmas associated with this approach. Clear and open communication can build community confidence in Restorative Justice as an effective alternative to crime and conflict. With these measures, the implementation of Restorative Justice can strengthen the criminal justice system, promote reconciliation, and positively impact perpetrators, victims, and society.

It is also essential to involve the community at large in the implementation of Restorative Justice. Community participation can strengthen the legitimacy and acceptance of this approach. Communities can be engaged through consultation forums, discussion groups, or other community engagement programs. Community participation can also help understand people's needs and expectations for justice and strengthen their understanding of the importance of recovery and reconciliation in dealing with crime. In addition, it is essential to measure the impact of Restorative Justice on an ongoing basis. Ongoing evaluation can help identify successes and challenges in Restorative Justice implementation. This evaluation may include an assessment of perpetrator, victim, and community satisfaction with the therapeutic process, the level of participation in the Restorative Justice

program, the repetition rate of crime, and the social impact experienced by the perpetrator and victim after engaging in the therapeutic process. Data and findings from the evaluation can inform policy decision-making in Restorative Justice implementation practices.

Furthermore, it is essential to strengthen relationships and cooperation between the criminal justice system and other sectors, such as education, mental health, and social services. This cross-sector collaboration can support a comprehensive approach to fixing and preventing crime. For example, cooperation with educational institutions can help prevent delinquent behavior at an early age. In contrast, partnerships with mental health services can provide support for offenders in need of psychological recovery. Through close collaboration, the impact of Restorative Justice can extend and cover broader aspects of life. Furthermore, it is also essential to pay attention to the rights and needs of victims in implementing Restorative Justice. Protection and justice for victims must be a top priority. Victims should be provided adequate support during the therapeutic process, including access to information, counseling, and legal assistance. In addition, it is also essential to maintain a balance between the needs of the victim and the protection of their rights with the interests of the recovery and reintegration of the offender.

In implementing restorative justice, it is necessary to recognize that this approach cannot be used in all types of crimes. In some cases, grave offenses or repeated crimes may require other, more appropriate methods, such as strict punishment per applicable law. Therefore, restorative justice must be implemented selectively and according to the needs and characteristics of each case. Finally, implementing restorative justice must be supported by a long-term commitment from stakeholders and policy sustainability. Building a sustainable system efficient time, efforts to construct an s of restorative justice cannot be achieved in a short period but through long-term commitment and continuous cooperation.

A holistic approach to the implementation of Restorative Justice needs to be considered. This approach involves a comprehensive understanding of the social, cultural, and economic contexts in which Restorative Justice approaches are applied. This allows appropriate and relevant adaptation to local needs, as well as taking into account various factors that can affect the success of the implementation. A holistic approach also involves vulnerable and marginalized community groups in implementing Restorative Justice. It includes groups such as children, adolescents, victims of sexual crimes, and minority groups. An inclusive and sensitive approach to the specific needs of this group must be adopted, ensuring adequate support and protection for them in the therapeutic process. This involves specific training for professionals who work with vulnerable groups and in the therapeutic approach according to their characteristics and needs.

It is also essential to pay attention to gender justice in implementing restorative justice. Gender violence is often a complex form of crime and mainly affects victims. Restorative justice must accommodate the needs of victims of gender violence, such as involving women's support groups, a sensitive approach to trauma, and protecting victims in the therapeutic process. In addition, it is also essential to encourage the involvement of perpetrators in rehabilitation and education programs that encourage harmful behavior change and explore gender stereotypes that influence their actions. Furthermore, it is also essential to pay attention to and address power issues that may arise in implementing Restorative Justice. Restorative justice aims to reduce the power gap between perpetrators and victims and actively involve all parties in the therapeutic process. However, in the realities of implementation, power issues can affect the dynamics and outcome of the therapeutic process. Therefore, it is essential to ensure that the facilitators and professionals

involved in the therapeutic process have a strong awareness of these issues of power and can deal with them judiciously and fairly. Restorative Justice can effectively address crime with a holistic and responsive approach, improving improved relationships and community promoters.

4. CONCLUSION

Restorative justice has strong transformation potential in changing the paradigm of the justice system from a focus on punishment to a therapeutic approach oriented towards reconciliation, responsibility, and communal recovery. Beneficial Justice principles, such as reconciliation, accountability, and participation, are critical cornerstones in changing the judicial paradigm. Restorative Justice has a significant impact on both the perpetrator and the victim. This approach encourages reflection and positive behavior change for perpetrators, while for victims, it allows for recovery, more satisfying justice, and reconciliation. Implementing restorative justice in the justice system requires collaboration, adequate resources, education, and active participation of stakeholders. Beneficial Justice offers a humane, equitable, and sustainable approach to crime, bringing hope of recovery and reconciliation to individuals and communities affected by crime.

REFERENCES

Journal Article

- Armstrong, Jac. "Rethinking the Restorative–Retributive Dichotomy: Is Reconciliation Possible?" *Contemporary Justice Review* 17, no. 3 (2014): 362–74. <https://doi.org/10.1080/10282580.2014.944796>.
- Ashworth, Andrew. "Responsibilities, Rights and Restorative Justice." *British Journal of Criminology* 42, no. 3 (2002): 578–95. <https://doi.org/10.1093/bjc/42.3.578>.
- Bazemore, Gordon, and Curt Griffiths. "Police Reform, Restorative Justice and Restorative Policing." *Police Practice and Research* 4, no. 4 (2003): 335–46. <https://doi.org/10.1080/15614260310001631244>.
- Beven, Jaimie P, Guy Hall, Irene Froyland, Brian Steels, and Dorothy Goulding. "Restoration or Renovation? Evaluating Restorative Justice Outcomes." *Psychiatry, Psychology and Law* 12, no. 1 (2005): 194–206. <https://doi.org/10.1375/pplt.2005.12.1.194>.
- Bishop, Donna M. "Juvenile Offenders in the Adult Criminal Justice System." *Crime and Justice* 27 (2000): 81–167. <https://doi.org/10.1086/652199>.
- Borton, Ian M, and Gregory D Paul. "Problematizing the Healing Metaphor of Restorative Justice." *Contemporary Justice Review* 18, no. 3 (2015): 257–73. <https://doi.org/10.1080/10282580.2015.1057704>.
- Braithwaite, John. "Principles of Restorative Justice." *Restorative Justice and Criminal Justice: Competing or Reconcilable Paradigms* 1 (2003): 5–6.
- Daly, Kathleen. "The Punishment Debate in Restorative Justice." *The SAGE Handbook of Punishment and Society*, 2013, 356–74. <http://digital.casalini.it/9781446266007>.
- Dhami, Mandeep K, Greg Mantle, and Darrell Fox. "Restorative Justice in Prisons." *Contemporary Justice Review* 12, no. 4 (2009): 433–48.
- Gromet, Dena M, and John M Darley. "Restoration and Retribution: How Including Retributive Components Affects the Acceptability of Restorative Justice Procedures."

- Social Justice Research* 19 (2006): 395–432. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s11211-006-0023-7>.
- Hermann, Donald H J. "Restorative Justice and Retributive Justice: An Opportunity for Cooperation or an Occasion for Conflict in the Search for Justice." *Seattle J. Soc. Just.* 16 (2017): 71.
- Julich, Shirley. "Views of Justice among Survivors of Historical Child Sexual Abuse: Implications for Restorative Justice in New Zealand." *Theoretical Criminology* 10, no. 1 (2006): 125–38. <https://doi.org/10.1177/13624806060599>.
- Karp, David R, and Olivia Frank. "Restorative Justice and Student Development in Higher Education: Expanding 'Offender' Horizons beyond Punishment and Rehabilitation to Community Engagement and Personal Growth." *Offenders No More: An Interdisciplinary Restorative Justice Dialogue*, 2016, 141–64.
- Kohli, Rita, Elizabeth Montaña, and Damany Fisher. "History Matters: Challenging an A-Historical Approach to Restorative Justice in Teacher Education." *Theory Into Practice* 58, no. 4 (2019): 377–84. <https://doi.org/10.1080/00405841.2019.1626613>.
- Koss, Mary, and Mary Achilles. "Restorative Justice Responses to Sexual Assault." In *Applied Research Forum: National Online Resource Centre on Violence Against Women*, 1–15, 2008.
- Leonard, Liam J. "Can Restorative Justice Provide a Better Outcome for Participants and Society than the Courts?" *Laws* 11, no. 1 (2022): 14. <https://doi.org/10.3390/laws11010014>.
- Maxwell, Gabrielle, and Allison Morris. "Youth Justice in New Zealand: Restorative Justice in Practice?" *Journal of Social Issues* 62, no. 2 (2006): 239–58. <https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1540-4560.2006.00449.x>.
- McGlynn, Clare. "Feminism, Rape and the Search for Justice." *Oxford Journal of Legal Studies* 31, no. 4 (2011): 825–42. <https://doi.org/10.1093/ojls/gqr025>.
- Mulligan, Steve. "From Retribution to Repair: Juvenile Justice and the History of Restorative Justice." *U. La Verne L. Rev.* 31 (2009): 139.
- Ness, Daniel Van, Allison Morris, and Gabrielle Maxwell. "Introducing Restorative Justice." *Restorative Justice for Juveniles: Conferencing, Mediation and Circles*, 2001, 3–16.
- Ogilvie, Greg, and David Fuller. "Restorative Justice Pedagogy in the ESL Classroom: Creating a Caring Environment to Support Refugee Students." *TESL Canada Journal*, 2016, 86–96.
- Potas, Ivan, Jane Smart, Georgia Brignell, Brendan Thomas, Rowena Lawrie, and Rhonda Clarke. "Circle Sentencing in New South Wales." *Australian Indigenous Law Reporter* 8, no. 4 (2004): 73–80.
- Randall, Melanie, and Lori Haskell. "Trauma-Informed Approaches to Law: Why Restorative Justice Must Understand Trauma and Psychological Coping." *Dalhousie LJ* 36 (2013): 501.
- Stuart, Barry. "Circle Sentencing in Canada: A Partnership of the Community and the Criminal Justice System." *International Journal of Comparative and Applied Criminal Justice* 20, no. 2 (1996): 291–309.
- Tauri, Juan Marcellus. "An Indigenous Perspective on the Standardisation of Restorative Justice in New Zealand and Canada." *Indigenous Policy Journal* 20, no. 3 (2009).

- Thesnaar, Christo. "Restorative Justice as a Key for Healing Communities." *Religion and Theology* 15, no. 1-2 (2008): 53-73.
- Tompsonowski, Barbara. "Restorative Justice and Community Justice in Canada." *Restorative Justice* 2, no. 2 (2014): 218-24.
- Tsui, Judy C. "Breaking Free Do the Prison Paradigm: Integrating Restorative Justice Techniques into Chicago's Juvenile Justice System." *J. Crim. L. & Criminology* 104 (2014): 635.
- Tyler, Tom R. "Restorative Justice and Procedural Justice: Dealing with Rule Breaking." *Journal of Social Issues* 62, no. 2 (2006): 307-26.
- Ward, Tony, Kathryn J Fox, and Melissa Garber. "Restorative Justice, Offender Rehabilitation and Desistance." *Restorative Justice* 2, no. 1 (2014): 24-42.
- Wenzel, Michael, Tyler G Okimoto, Norman T Feather, and Michael J Platow. "Retributive and Restorative Justice." *Law and Human Behavior* 32, no. 5 (2008): 375.

Book

- Hayes, Hennessey. "Reoffending and Restorative Justice." In *Handbook of Restorative Justice*, 426-44. Willan, 2013.
- Hirsch, Andreas von, Julian V Roberts, Anthony E Bottoms, Kent Roach, and Mara Schiff. *Restorative Justice and Criminal Justice: Competing or Reconcilable Paradigms*. Oregon: Hart Publishing, 2003.
- Johnstone, Gerry. *Restorative Justice: Ideas, Values, Debates*. New York: Routledge, 2013.
- Pavlich, George. *Governing Paradoxes of Restorative Justice*. London: GlassHouse Press, 2005.
- Zehr, Howard. *Changing Lenses: Restorative Justice for Our Times*. Harrisoburg: Herald Press, 2015.